UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,721	09/05/2003	Xiaowu Pang	NIH202.001C1	8676
	7590 03/20/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN ST	REET	MOSHER, MARY		
FOURTEENTH IRVINE, CA 92		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1648	
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/20/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Office Action Commons		Application N	pplication No. Applicant(s)					
		10/656,721		PANG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mary E. Mosh	er, Ph.D.	1648				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. To period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event, h od will apply and will exp tute, cause the application	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be timber SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	 his action is non-	final					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,8 and 16-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 8 16-20</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requ	irement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		objected to by the F	Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	nte				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 2, 8, and 17 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over [Westaway (US6893866) and/or Khromykh et al (Journal of Virology 71:1497-1505, 1997; in IDS) and/or Khromykh (Current Opinion in Molecular Therapeutics 2(5):555-569, 2000; in IDS)] in view of Polo et al (Journal of Virology 71:5366-5374, 1997; in IDS), for reasons of record. Applicant argues that Polo 1997 teaches away from the invention, because Polo used an unconventional yeast host cell to stably propagate cDNA encoding infectious Dengue virus. However, Polo provides a solution to the prior problem of Dengue cDNA stability, by teaching propagation of the cDNA in yeast. The use of a yeast host does not in any way discourage one skilled in the art from constructing dengue subgenomic replicons analogous to the Kunjin subgenomic replicons taught in the primary references, even if it does suggest propagating the cDNA constructs encoding the replicons in yeast. It is concluded that the reference does not teach away from the invention as claimed, and the rejection is maintained.

Claim 16 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khromykh et al (Journal of Virology 71:1497-1505, 1997) in view of Polo et al (Journal of Virology 71:5366-5374, 1997) and Fields (1996), optionally in view of [Westaway (US6893866) and/or Khromykh (Current Opinion in Molecular Therapeutics 2(5):555-569, 2000; in IDS)], for reasons of record. Applicant's arguments are directed at the teachings of Polo, which are answered above.

Art Unit: 1648

Claims 18-20 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over [Westaway (US6893866) and/or Khromykh (Current Opinion in Molecular Therapeutics 2(5):555-569, 2000; in IDS)] in view of Polo et al (Journal of Virology 71:5366-5374, 1997), optionally in view of Khromykh et al (Journal of Virology 71:1497-1505, 1997), for reasons of record. Applicant did not argue this rejection.

Double Patenting

Claims 2, 8, 16, 17-20 remain provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of copending Application No. 11/194,342, for reasons of record.

Claims 2, 8, 16, 17-20 remain provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 8, 22-27 of copending Application No. 11/192,923, for reasons of record.

Applicant argues that the provisional double patenting rejections can be withdrawn if they are the only rejection remaining in this, the earliest-filed application. The examiner agrees. However, the provisional double patenting rejections are not the only rejections remaining. Therefore the provisional rejections are not withdrawn.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0906. The examiner can normally be reached on varying dates and times; please leave a message.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mary E Mosher, Ph.D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1648 Application/Control Number: 10/656,721

Page 5

Art Unit: 1648